arXiv:1411.0454v2 [math.GR] 13 Jan 2015

A LOWER BOUND FOR THE NUMBER OF CONJUGACY CLASSES OF A FINITE GROUP

ATTILA MARÓTI

Abstract. Every finite group whose order is divisible by a prime p has at least $2\sqrt{p-1}$ conjugacy classes.

1. Introduction

Let $k(G)$ denote the number of conjugacy classes of a finite group G. This is also the number of complex irreducible characters of G. Bounding $k(G)$ is a fundamental problem in group and representation theory.

Concerning Problem 3 of the list of 40 problems of R. Brauer [2], the best known asymptotic general lower bounds for $k(G)$ in terms of the order of G are almost logarithmic and are due to L. Pyber [19] and T. M. Keller [14]. In this paper we consider a slightly different point of view in establishing lower bounds for $k(G)$. We wish to give a lower bound for $k(G)$ in terms of a prime divisor p of $|G|$. This is related to Problem 21 in [2].

Let G be a finite group such that the order of G contains a prime p with exact exponent 1. Pyber observed that results of Brauer [1] imply that G contains at least $2\sqrt{p-1}$ conjugacy classes. Motivated by this observation Pyber asked various questions concerning lower bounds for $k(G)$ in terms of the prime divisors of $|G|$. In response to these questions (and motivated by trying to find explicit lower bounds for the number of complex irreducible characters in a block) L. Héthelyi and B. Külshammer obtained various results [7], [8] for solvable groups. For example they proved in [7] that every solvable finite group G whose order is divisible by p has at least $2\sqrt{p-1}$ conjugacy classes. Later G. Malle [16, Section 2] showed that if G is a minimal counterexample to the inequality $k(G) \geq 2\sqrt{p-1}$ with p dividing $|G|$ then G has the form HV where V is an irreducible faithful H-module for a finite group H with $(|H|, |V|) = 1$ where p is the prime dividing $|V|$. He also showed that H cannot be an almost quasisimple group. Using these results, Keller [13] showed that there exists a universal constant C so that whenever $p > C$ then $k(G) \geq 2\sqrt{p-1}$. In a later paper Héthelyi, E. Horváth, Keller and A. Maróti [6] proved that by disregarding at most finitely many non-solvable p-solvable groups G, we have $k(G) \geq 2\sqrt{p-1}$ with equality if and only if $\sqrt{p-1}$ is an integer, $G = C_p \rtimes C_{\sqrt{p-1}}$ and $C_G(C_p) = C_p$. However since the constant C in Keller's theorem was unspecified, there had been no quantitative information on what was meant by at most finitely many in the afore-mentioned theorem.

The research of the author was supported by an Alexander von Humboldt Fellowship for Experienced Researchers and by OTKA K84233.

In this paper we answer this question for all primes p.

Theorem 1.1. *Every finite group G whose order is divisible by a prime p has at least $2\sqrt{p-1}$ conjugacy classes. Equality occurs if and only if $\sqrt{p-1}$ is an integer, $G = C_p \rtimes C_{\sqrt{p-1}}$ and $C_G(C_p) = C_p$.*

2. A reduction

Let G be a minimal counterexample to the statement of Theorem 1.1. By [7] (and the equality by [6, Theorem 2.1]) we know that G is not solvable. Also, by [6, Theorem 3.1], we may assume that G is a p-solvable group (whose order is divisible by p). Now we may proceed as in [6, Page 428]. Let V be a minimal normal subgroup in G. If $|G/V|$ is divisible by p then, by the minimality of G, we have $k(G) > k(G/V) \geq 2\sqrt{p-1}$, a contradiction. So p divides $|V|$, and since G is p-solvable, we see that V is an elementary abelian p-group. By this argument we see that V is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G. By the Schur-Zassenhaus theorem, there is a complement H of V in G. So G has the form HV where V is a coprime, faithful and irreducible H-module.

In the papers [20] and [21] all non-nilpotent finite groups are classified with at most 14 conjugacy classes. By going through these lists of groups we see that no group G of the form described in the previous paragraph is a counterexample to Theorem 1.1. So we have $k(G) \geq 15$. This means that we can assume that $2\sqrt{p-1} \geq 15$ is true. In other words, that $p \geq 59$.

There is a well-known expression for $k(G) = k(HV)$ which is a consequence of the so-called Clifford-Gallagher formula. Let $n(H, V)$ denote the number of H-orbits on V and let $v_1, \ldots, v_{n(H,V)}$ be representatives of these orbits. Then [22, Proposition 3.1b] says that $k(HV) = \sum_{i=1}^{n(H,V)} k(C_H(v_i))$. This is at least $k(H) + n(H, V) - 1$.

Theorem 1.1 is then a consequence of the following result (with the roles of H and G interchanged).

Theorem 2.1. *Let V be an irreducible and faithful FG-module for some finite group G and finite field F of characteristic p at least 59. Suppose that p does not divide $|G|$. Then we have $k(G) + n(G, V) - 1 \geq 2\sqrt{p-1}$ with equality if and only if $\sqrt{p-1}$ is an integer, $|V| = |F| = p$ and $|G| = \sqrt{p-1}$.*

Theorem 2.1 has implicitly been proved in [7] in case G is solvable, without a consideration of when equality can occur.

3. Basic results, notations and assumptions

In the rest of the paper we are going to prove Theorem 2.1. For this purpose let us fix some notations and assumptions.

Let V be an irreducible and faithful FG-module for some finite group G and finite field F of characteristic p. Suppose that p does not divide $|G|$ and it is at least 59. The size of the field F will be denoted by q, the dimension of V over F by n, and the center of $GL(n, q)$ by Z. We denote the number of orbits of G on V by $n(G, V)$. We will use the following trivial observation throughout the paper.

Lemma 3.1. *With the notations and assumptions above, $|V|/|G| \leq n(G, V)$.*

However we will also need a more sophisticated lower bound for $n(G,V)$. For this we must introduce some more notations (which will also be valid for the rest of the paper).

Suppose that G transitively permutes a set $\{V_1,\dots,V_t\}$ of subspaces of V with t an integer with $1 \le t \le n$ as large as possible with the property that $V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_t$. Let B be the kernel of this action of G on the set of subspaces. Note that G/B is a transitive permutation group of degree t. The subgroup B is isomorphic to a subdirect product of t copies of a finite group T. In other words B is isomorphic to a subgroup of $T_1 \times \cdots \times T_t$ where for each i with $1 \le i \le t$ the vector space V_i is a primitive (faithful) T_i-module and $T_i \cong T$. Suppose that T_i has k orbits on V_i (for each i). Let H_1 be the stabilizer of V_1 in G. Then the following is true.

Lemma 3.2. *With the above notations and assumptions,*

$$n(H_1,V_1) \le \max\{t+1,k\} \le \binom{t+k-1}{k-1} \le n(G,V).$$

Proof. For $k = n(H_1,V_1)$ this is [4, Lemma 2.6]. For a proof of this slightly stronger form we may assume that G is as large as possible subject to the restrictions above (fixed t, T, k). Then $G \cong T \wr S_t$ and in this case $n(G,V)$ is precisely $\binom{t+k-1}{k-1}$. □

When G is solvable we will also use the following consequence of a result of S. M. Seager [23, Theorem 1].

Proposition 3.3. *Let V be a faithful primitive FG-module for a finite solvable group G not contained in $\Gamma L(1,p^n)$ where F is a field of prime order $p \ge 59$ and $|V| = p^n$. Then $p^{n/2}/12n < n(G,V)$.*

As is suggested by Lemma 3.1, in various situations it will be useful to bound the size of G from above. A useful tool in doing so is the following result of P. P. Pálfy and Pyber [17, Proposition 4].

Proposition 3.4. *Let X be any subgroup of the symmetric group S_m whose order is coprime to a prime p. If $m > 1$ then $|X| < p^{m-1}$.*

A third means to attack Theorem 2.1 is to bound $k(G)$.

Lemma 3.5. *If G has an abelian subgroup of index at most $|V|^{1/2}/(2\sqrt{p-1})$ and $n(G,V) \le 2\sqrt{p-1}$, then $2\sqrt{p-1} \le k(G)$.*

Proof. If G has an abelian subgroup A with $|G:A| \le |V|^{1/2}/(2\sqrt{p-1})$, then

$$|G|(2\sqrt{p-1})/|V|^{1/2} \le |A|.$$

Now $|A|/|G:A| \le k(G)$, by a result of Ernest [3, page 502] saying that whenever Y is a subgroup of a finite group X then we have $k(Y)/|X:Y| \le k(X)$. This gives $(4(p-1)|G|)/|V| \le k(G)$. Then, by Lemma 3.1, we obtain $2\sqrt{p-1} \le k(G)$. □

4. The class $\mathcal{C}_q$

Our first aim in proving Theorem 2.1 is to describe (as much as possible) the possibilities for G and V with the condition that $n(G,V) < 2\sqrt{q-1}$ where q is the size of the underlying field F. For this we need to introduce a class of pairs (G,V) which we denote by $\mathcal{C}_q$.

In this paragraph we define a class of pairs (G,V) where V is an FG-module. Let W be a not necessarily faithful but coprime QH-module for some finite field extension Q of F and some finite group H. We write $\mathrm{Stab}^Q_{Q_1}(H,W)$ for the class of pairs (H_1,W_1) with the property that W_1 is a Q_1H_1-module with $F \leq Q_1 \leq Q$ where W_1 is just W viewed as a Q_1-vector space and H_1 is some group with the following property. If $\varphi : H_1 \longrightarrow GL(W_1)$ and $\psi : H \longrightarrow GL(W)$ denote the natural, not necessarily injective homomorphisms, then $\varphi(H_1) \cap GL(W) = \psi(H)$. We write $\mathrm{Ind}(H,W)$ for the class of pairs (H_1,W_1) with the property that $W_1 = \mathrm{Ind}_H^{H_1}(W)$ for some group H_1 with $H \leq H_1$. Finally, let $\mathcal{C}_q$ be the class of all pairs (G,V) with the property that V is a finite, faithful, coprime and irreducible FG-module so that (G,V) can be obtained by repeated applications of $\mathrm{Stab}^{Q_2}_{Q_1}$ and Ind starting with (H,W) where W is a 1-dimensional QH-module with Q a field extension of F.

If $(G,V) \in \mathcal{C}_q$ then there exist a sequence of field extensions

$$F_{q_m} \geq F_{q_{m-1}} \geq \ldots \geq F_{q_0} = F,$$

a normal series $1 < N_0 \lhd N_1 \lhd \ldots \lhd N_{2m-1} = G$, and integers $n_1, \ldots, n_m, n_{m+1} = 1$ so that the following hold. The normal subgroup N_0 of G is a subgroup of the direct product of $\log|V|/\log q_m$ copies of a cyclic group of order $q_m - 1$. For each i with $1 \leq i \leq m$ the factor group N_{2i-1}/N_{2i} is a subgroup of the direct product of $n_i \leq \log|V|/\log q_{m-i+1}$ copies of a cyclic group of order $\log q_{m-i+1}/\log q_{m-i}$ and the factor group N_{2i}/N_{2i-1} is a subgroup of a permutation group on n_i points which is a direct power of n_{i+1} copies of a permutation group on n_i/n_{i+1} points.

The main results of this section are Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $(G,V) \in \mathcal{C}_q$ and $n(G,V) < 2\sqrt{q-1}$. If $q \geq 59$, then $|G| < |V|^{3/2}$.*

Proof. Fix an F_{q_0}-vector space V of dimension n where $q_0 = q$. Suppose that $(G,V) \in \mathcal{C}_q$ with $n(G,V) < 2\sqrt{q-1}$ and G of maximal possible size. Then there exists a sequence of field extensions $F_{q_m} \geq F_{q_{m-1}} \geq \ldots \geq F_{q_0}$ so that

$$|G| \leq (q_m - 1)^{\log|V|/\log q_m} \cdot \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{m} (\log q_i / \log q_{i-1})^{\log|V|/\log q_i} \Big) \cdot p^{\log|V|/\log q_m - 1}$$

where the first factor is equal to the size of the direct product of $\log|V|/\log q_m$ copies of a cyclic group of order $q_m - 1$, the second factor is an upper bound for the product of all the factors with which the sizes of the relevant groups increase by taking normalizers when viewing the linear groups over smaller fields, and the third factor is the product of the sizes of all factor groups (viewed as permutation groups) which arise after inducing smaller modules (this product is at most the size of a p'-subgroup of the symmetric group on $\log|V|/\log q_m$ points which we can bound using Proposition 3.4).

We now proceed to bound the three factors in the product above. The first factor is clearly less than $|V|$. Let us consider the second factor. Define the positive integers $k_1, \ldots, k_m, k_{m+1}$ so that $q_1 = q^{k_1}$, $q_2 = q^{k_1 k_2}, \ldots, q_m = q^{k_1 k_2 \cdots k_m}$, and $|V| = q^{k_1 k_2 \cdots k_m k_{m+1}}$. We may assume that all the k_i's are at least 2 for $1 \leq i \leq m$ (while we allow k_{m+1} to be 1). Then we can write the second factor as

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} {k_i}^{k_{i+1} \cdots k_{m+1}} \leq \prod_{i=1}^{m} {k_i}^{n/(k_1 \cdots k_i)}$$

where $n = \log |V| / \log q$. But by taking logarithms it is easy to see that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} {n_i}^{1/(n_1 \cdots n_i)} \leq 3^{2/3}$$

for any sequence $n_1, n_2, \ldots$ of integers at least 2. Thus the second factor is at most $3^{2n/3} < |V|^{0.18}$ since $q \geq 59$.

Suppose first that $q_m \geq q^4$. Then we can show that $|G| < |V|^{1.39}$. This is clear for $q_m \geq q^{10}$ since the second factor considered above is less than $|V|^{0.18}$ while the third factor is less than $|V|^{1/10}$. By bounding the second factor more carefully in cases $q_m = q^i$ $(4 \leq i \leq 9)$, we see that it is less than $|V|^{0.39 - 1/i}$.

Thus we may assume that $q_m = q^3, q^2$ or q. In the first two cases $m = 1$ while in the third, $m = 0$.

Suppose that the first case holds. Then we can bound the second factor by $3^{n/3} < |V|^{0.09}$. By Lemma 3.2 and by using the fact that $n(G, V) < 2\sqrt{q-1}$, we certainly have $n/3 < \ell := 2\sqrt{q-1}$. So the third factor is at most

$$(n/3)! < \ell^{n/3} < (4 \cdot q)^{n/6} < |V|^{1/4}$$

since $q \geq 59$. So we get $|G| < |V|^{1.34}$.

Suppose that the second case holds. Then we can bound the second factor by $2^{n/2} < |V|^{0.09}$. By Lemma 3.2 and by using the fact that $n(G, V) < 2\sqrt{q-1}$, we certainly have $n/2 < \ell := 2\sqrt{q-1}$. So the third factor is at most

$$(n/2)! < \ell^{n/2} < (4 \cdot q)^{n/4} < |V|^{0.34}.$$

So we get $|G| < |V|^{1.43}$.

Suppose that the third case holds. Then the second factor is 1. Also, by Lemma 3.2, we can replace the third factor by $n!$ where $n < 2\sqrt{q-1}$. Here $2\sqrt{q-1} \geq 15$. This gives $n! < (\sqrt{q-1})^n < q^{n/2} = |V|^{1/2}$. We get $|G| < |V|^{3/2}$. □

The following can be considered as a refined version of Lemma 4.1.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $(G, V) \in \mathcal{C}_q$ and $n(G, V) < 2\sqrt{q-1}$. If $p \geq 59$, then at least one of the following holds.*

1. *G has an abelian subgroup of index at most $|V|^{1/2}/(2\sqrt{p-1})$.*
2. *$|F| = p$, the module V is induced from a 1-dimensional module, and G has a factor group isomorphic to A_n or S_n where $n = \dim_F(V)$. In this case we either have $n = 1$, or $15 \leq n \leq 180$ and $p < 8192$.*

Proof. If $G \leq \Gamma L(1, q^n)$, then the result is clear, since $n \leq |V|^{1/2}/(2\sqrt{p-1})$ ((1) is satisfied).

Let us consider the proof (and the notations) of Lemma 4.1. Clearly, an upper bound for the index of an abelian (subnormal) subgroup of G is the product of the second and third factors. For $q_m \geq q^4$ this was $|V|^{0.39}$, for $q_m = q^3$ this was $|V|^{0.34}$, and for $q_m = q^2$ this was $|V|^{0.43}$. These are at most $|V|^{1/2}/(2\sqrt{p-1})$ unless $n \leq 6$ (in the first case), $n = 3$ (in the second case), and $n \leq 8$ (in the third case). In all these exceptional cases we have $G \leq \Gamma L(1, q^n)$ (the case treated in the previous paragraph) unless $q_m = q^2$ and $n = 4$, 6, or 8. But in all these exceptional cases there exists an abelian (subnormal) subgroup of index at most $2^n(n/2)! < q^{n/2}/(2\sqrt{p-1})$ where this latter inequality follows from $q \geq p \geq 59$ and $n \leq 8$. Thus (1) is satisfied in all these cases, and we may assume that $q_m = q$ in case $(G, V) \in \mathcal{C}_q$.

Now let t and B be defined for G as in Section 3. By Lemma 3.2, we may assume that $t < 2\sqrt{p-1}-1$. Put ℓ to be the integer part of $2\sqrt{p-1}-1$. Then it is easy to see that $|G/B| \leq \ell!^{t/\ell} < (\ell/2.2549)^t$ since $p \geq 59$. This gives $|G/B| < 0.89^t \cdot p^{t/2}$.

Suppose that $t = n$. Then G contains an abelian (normal) subgroup of index less than $0.89^n \cdot p^{n/2} \leq q^{n/2}/(2\sqrt{p-1})$ unless $1.27^n < 4(p-1)$ (in which case this previous inequality fails). By taking logarithms of both sides we get $n < 10 \log p$. But then $|G/B| < ((10/2.2549) \log p)^n < (4.5 \log p)^n$.

Suppose for a contradiction that part (1) fails. Then

$$q^{n/2}/(2\sqrt{p-1}) < |G/B| < (4.5 \log p)^n.$$

This gives $(\sqrt{q}/(4.5 \log p))^n < 2\sqrt{p-1}$. But on the other hand we also have $|G/B| \leq n!$ which, together with our assumption, gives the inequality $p^{n-1} < 4(n!)^2$. Since $p \geq 59$, we certainly have $59^{n-1} < 4(n!)^2$. From this we get $n \geq 15$. Then $(\sqrt{q}/(4.5 \log p))^{15} < 2\sqrt{p-1}$, which forces $q = p < 8192$ and thus $n = t \leq 180$.

It is easy to see that a transitive subgroup of S_n not containing A_n has index at least $3n$ for $n \geq 15$. (This is clear for a primitive subgroup by the bound of Praeger and Saxl [18], while for imprimitive groups a more direct calculation is necessary.) So if G/B does not contain the alternating group A_n, then we can refine our upper bound above for $|G/B|$ by multiplying the result by $1/3n$. But then $9 \cdot n^2 \cdot 1.27^n < 4(p-1)$ follows. However since $n \geq 15$ we also get $73026 < n^2 \cdot 1.27^n < 4(p-1)$ which forces $18257 \leq p$. But this is a contradiction because we already deduced that $p < 8192$. This proves the result in case $t = n$. □

5. Some absolutely irreducible representations

As mentioned earlier we will be interested in pairs (G, V) for which $n(G, V) < 2\sqrt{q-1}$. In this section we consider two special cases, the case when G is a central product of an almost quasisimple group H and Z and the case when G is the normalizer of a group of symplectic type. We will also make some more assumptions on the FG-module V.

Proposition 5.1. *Suppose that p is a prime at least 59. Let H be a finite subgroup of $GL(n, q)$ with generalized Fitting subgroup a quasisimple group where q is a power*

of p. Put $G = Z \circ H$ where Z is the multiplicative group of F. Furthermore suppose that V is an absolutely irreducible FT-module for every non-central normal subgroup T of G. Suppose also that $|G|$ is not divisible by p. Then $n(G,V) \geq 2\sqrt{q-1}$ unless possibly if $n = 2$, q is in the range $59 \leq q \leq 14389$, it is congruent to ± 1 modulo 10, and $G = Z \circ 2.A_5$.

Proof. First suppose that H cannot be realized over a proper subfield of F.

In this paragraph suppose also that (n, H) is different from $(2, 2.A_5)$, $(3, 3.A_6)$, $(3, L_2(7))$, or $(4, 2.S_4(3))$. Let $P(V)$ denote the set of 1-dimensional subspaces of V. Since $|H|$ is not divisible by p and $p \geq 59$, we see by [16, Satz 3.4], that all orbits of G on $P(V)$ have lengths less than $(q^{n-1}-1)/(q-1)$. Thus the number of orbits of G on $P(V)$ is larger than $(q^n-1)/(q^{n-1}-1)$. But then $n(G,V)$ is larger than $(q^n-1)/(q^{n-1}-1) \geq 2\sqrt{q-1}$.

Suppose that $n = 2$ and $G = Z \circ 2.A_5$. We may assume that $n(G,V) < 2\sqrt{q-1}$. From this we get $|V|/|G| < 2\sqrt{q-1}$. It readily follows that $q < 14400$. Since q must be a prime power, we must have $59 \leq q \leq 14389$. According to Dickson's theorem [11, Kapitel II, 8.27] q must be congruent to ± 1 modulo 10. This accounts for the exception in the statement of the proposition.

Suppose that $n = 3$ and $G = Z \circ 3.A_6$. From the inequality $|V|/|G| < 2\sqrt{q-1}$ it follows that $q \leq 80$. Since q is a prime power, we must have $59 \leq q \leq 79$. However only $p = 61$ is to be considered since $\sqrt{-3}$ and also $\sqrt{5}$ must lie in F. In this case a direct computation shows that there are $21 > 2\sqrt{60}$ orbits of G on $P(V)$.

Suppose that $n = 3$ and $G = Z \times L_2(7)$. From the inequality $|V|/|G| < 2\sqrt{q-1}$ it follows that $q \leq 48$. A contradiction.

Suppose that $n = 4$ and $G = Z \circ 2.S_4(3)$. From the inequality $|V|/|G| < 2\sqrt{q-1}$ it follows that $q \leq 76$. Since q is a prime power, we must have $59 \leq q \leq 73$. However only the cases $p = 61$, 67, and 73 are to be considered since $\sqrt{-3}$ must lie in F. In these cases there are 30, 33, and 43 orbits of G on $P(V)$, respectively. These are all greater than $2\sqrt{72}$.

Now suppose that H can be realized over a proper subfield of F. Then clearly $q \geq 59^2$. Let S be the generalized Fitting subgroup of H which by assumption is quasisimple. We now discuss the possibilities for S according to the classification.

If $n = 2$ then, by Dickson's theorem [11, Kapitel II, 8.27], S is a covering group of A_5 and $H = S$. This is an exception in the statement of the proposition since as before we get $q \leq 14389$ and $q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{10}$. From now on assume that $n \geq 3$.

Since $q \geq 59^2$, it can easily be checked, just by order considerations and using the fact that $|G|$ is coprime to p, that none of the (generic examples of) groups G with S appearing in Table 2 of [9] have fewer than $2\sqrt{q-1}$ orbits on V. Then, using Table 3 of [10] together with the condition that $q \geq 59^2$, one can check, essentially just by comparing $\log_{10}(q^{n-2})$ and $\log_{10}(|G|)$, that no group G has fewer than $2\sqrt{q-1}$ orbits on V with $n \leq 250$.

So assume that $n > 250$. We can rule out S being a covering group of a sporadic simple group since $|G|$ is much smaller than 59^{498}. For a similar reason as when considering Table 2 of [9], we see that S cannot be a covering group of an alternating group A_m (for we can assume that $m \geq 9$ and so $n \geq m-2$ by [15, Proposition 5.3.7 (i)]).

Suppose that S is a covering group of a classical group $Cl(d,r)$ where r is a prime power and d is chosen as small as possible (here d is the dimension of the vector space naturally associated to the classical group). If $d \geq 6$ then

$$n \geq \max\{251, (r^{d/2}-1)/2\}$$

by [15, Corollary 5.3.10 (iv)] and by $n > 250$. But then $q^{n-3} > r^{d^2}$ follows by using the fact that $q \geq 59^2$. This implies that we must have $d \leq 5$.

So suppose that $d \leq 5$. Then [15, Table 5.3.A] shows that $n \geq \max\{251, (r-1)/2\}$. But then $q^{n-3} > r^{d^2}$ certainly follows for $r \geq 32$. So suppose that $r < 32$. Then $q^{n-3} \geq 59^{496} > 32^{25} \geq r^{d^2}$. This finishes the treatment of the case when S is a covering group of a classical group.

Suppose that S is a covering group of an exceptional simple group of Lie type. Then [15, Tables 5.1.B and 5.3.A] can be used to show that G must have at least $2\sqrt{q-1}$ orbits on V. □

Let us now turn to our second important case of an absolutely irreducible FG-module V. Suppose that the group G has a unique normal subgroup R which is minimal subject to being non-central. Suppose that R is an r-group of symplectic type for some prime r (this is an r-group all of whose characteristic abelian subgroups are cyclic). Suppose that V is an absolutely irreducible FR-module. Let $|R/Z(R)| = r^{2a}$ for some positive integer a. Then the dimension of the module is $n = r^a$. Suppose that $Z \leq G$. The group $G/(RZ)$ can be considered as a subgroup of the symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}_{2a}(r)$. As always, we assume that $q \geq p \geq 59$.

Proposition 5.2. *Suppose that V and G satisfy the assumptions of the previous paragraph. If $n(G,V) < 2\sqrt{q-1}$, then $n = 2$, $59 \leq q = p \leq 2297$, and $|G/Z| \leq 24$.*

Proof. Suppose that V and G satisfy the assumptions of the paragraph preceding the statement of the proposition. Then $|V|/|G| < 2\sqrt{q-1}$.

Suppose first that (r,a) is different from any of the pairs $(2,1)$, $(3,1)$, and $(2,2)$. Then $|G| < q \cdot r^{2a} \cdot |\mathrm{Sp}_{2a}(r)| < q \cdot r^{2a^2+3a}$. We wish to show that this is less than $q^{r^a-1} \leq |V|/(2\sqrt{q-1})$. By taking logarithms of both sides, it is sufficient to see the inequality $(2a^2+3a)\log r < (r^a-2)\log q$. But this is true by using the assumption that $q \geq p \geq 59$. This is a contradiction to the fact that $|V|/|G| < 2\sqrt{q-1}$.

If $(r,a) = (2,2)$ then a more careful but similar computation as in the previous paragraph yields a contradiction. For $(r,a) = (3,1)$ we do the same and get a contradiction whenever $q \geq 61$. Also, q cannot be 59 in this case since 3 does not divide 58.

So only $(r,a) = (2,1)$ can occur. In this case we must have $n = 2$, $|G/Z| \leq 24$, and thus q is in the range $59 \leq q = p \leq 2297$. □

6. Bounding $n(G,V)$

The purpose of this section is to describe as much as possible pairs (G,V) for which $n(G,V) < 2\sqrt{q-1}$.

Theorem 6.1. *Let V be a finite, faithful, coprime and irreducible FG-module. Suppose that the characteristic p of the underlying field F is at least 59. Put $q = |F|$ and $|V| = q^n$. Let the center of $GL(n,q)$ be Z. Then $n(G,V) \geq 2\sqrt{q-1}$ unless possibly if one of the following cases holds.*

(1) $(G,V) \in \mathcal{C}_q$;
(2) $V = \mathrm{Ind}_H^G(W)$ for some 2-dimensional FH-module W where H is as G in Proposition 5.1 or Proposition 5.2 satisfying one of the following.
  (a) $59 \leq q \leq 14389$, $q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{10}$, and $2.A_5 \leq H/C_H(W) \leq Z \circ 2.A_5$;
  (b) $59 \leq q = p \leq 2297$ and $|(H/C_H(W))/Z(H/C_H(W))| \leq 24$.

In order to prove Theorem 6.1 we need a bound on the orders of groups among the exceptions in the statement of the theorem. The following extends Lemma 4.1.

Lemma 6.2. *Let (G,V) be a pair among the exceptions in Theorem 6.1, satisfying $n(G,V) < 2\sqrt{q-1}$. Then $|G| < |V|^{3/2}$.*

Proof. If (G,V) is of type (1) then Lemma 4.1 gives the result. If (G,V) is of type (2/a) or (2/b) then it is easy to see that $|G| < |V|^{3/2}$ by using Proposition 3.4 and the fact that $p \geq 59$. □

We can now turn to the proof of Theorem 6.1. In this we follow the reduction argument found in [5, Section 6].

Let G be a counterexample to Theorem 6.1 with n minimal.

Suppose that V is an imprimitive FG-module which is induced from a primitive FH-module W for some proper subgroup H of G. If $n(H,W) \geq 2\sqrt{q-1}$ then $n(G,V) \geq 2\sqrt{q-1}$, by Lemma 3.2. So assume that $n(H,W) < 2\sqrt{q-1}$. By the minimality of n, the pair $(H/C_H(W), W)$ must be of type (1) or (2) of the statement of the theorem. But then (G,V) is also of type (1) or (2). A contradiction.

So we may assume that V is a primitive FG-module.

We first claim that we can assume that every irreducible FN-submodule of V is absolutely irreducible for any normal subgroup N of G. For this purpose let N be a normal subgroup of G. Then V is a homogeneous FN-module, so $V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_m$, where the V_i's are isomorphic irreducible FN-modules. Let $K \simeq \mathrm{End}_{FN}(V_1)$. Assuming that the V_i's are not absolutely irreducible, K is a proper field extension of F, and $C_{GL(V)}(N) = \mathrm{End}_{FN}(V) \cap GL(V) \simeq GL(r,K)$ for some r. Furthermore, $L = Z(C_{GL(V)}(N)) \simeq Z(GL(r,K)) \simeq K^\times$. Now, by using L, we can extend V to a K-vector space of dimension $\ell := \dim_K V < n$. As $G \leq N_{GL(V)}(L)$, in this way we get an inclusion $G \leq \Gamma L(\ell, K)$. Now G contains the normal subgroup $H = G \cap GL(\ell, K)$ of index at most n. Clearly V is a homogeneous and faithful KH-module. Let W be a simple KH-submodule of V. Then, by the minimality of n, we get $n(H,V) \geq n(H,W) \geq 2\sqrt{|K|-1}$ unless (H,W) is one of the examples listed in the statement of the theorem. If H is none of the possibilities listed in the statement of the theorem, then $n(G,V) \geq n(H,V)/n \geq 2\sqrt{q-1}$, a contradiction, since we are assuming $p \geq 59$. If (H,W) is of possibility (1) then so is (G,V) of possibility (1) unless $W < V$. If $W < V$ and W is not of dimension 1 over K then Lemma 4.1 shows that $n(H,V) \geq |V|/|H| \geq 2\sqrt{|K|-1}$, and so $n(G,V) \geq n(H,V)/n \geq 2\sqrt{q-1}$, as before. If W is of dimension 1 over K then a more careful consideration is

necessary to obtain the same conclusion. If H is of possibility (2) of the statement of the theorem, then H is of index 2 in G and so $|G| \leq 120(q^2-1)$. But then $n(G,V) > |V|/|G| > q^2/120 \geq 2\sqrt{q-1}$ since $q \geq 59$. This shows the claim.

Let N be a normal subgroup of G and let $V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_r$ be a direct sum decomposition of V into isomorphic absolutely irreducible FN-modules. By choosing a suitable basis in $V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_r$, we can assume that $G \leq GL(n,F)$ such that any element of N is of the form $A \otimes I_r$ for some $A \in N_{V_1} \leq GL(n/r,F)$. By using [15, Lemma 4.4.3(ii)] we get

$$N_{GL(n,F)}(N) = \{B \otimes C \,|\, B \in N_{GL(n/r,F)}(N_{V_1}),\ C \in GL(r,F)\}.$$

Let

$$G_1 = \{g_1 \in GL(n/r,F) \,|\, \exists g \in G, g_2 \in GL(r,F) \text{ such that } g = g_1 \otimes g_2\}.$$

We define $G_2 \leq GL(r,F)$ in an analogous way. Then $G \leq G_1 \otimes G_2$. Here G_1 and G_2 are not homomorphic images of G, since $g = g_1 \otimes g_2 = \lambda g_1 \otimes \lambda^{-1} g_2$ for any $\lambda \in F^\times$, so the map $g = g_1 \otimes g_2 \mapsto g_1$ is not well-defined. However, they both have orders coprime to p. Since $G_1 \otimes G_2$ preserves a tensor product structure $V = W_1 \otimes W_2$, so does G.

We claim that G does not preserve a proper tensor product structure. For a proof suppose that G preserves a tensor product structure $V = W_1 \otimes W_2$ with $r_1 = \dim W_1 > 1$ and $r_2 = \dim W_2 > 1$. Without loss of generality assume that $r_1 \leq r_2$ and $n = r_1 r_2$. Then $G \leq G_1 \otimes G_2$ for some groups G_1 and G_2 acting on W_1 and W_2 respectively. Assume also that these groups have orders coprime to p. We also assume that G acts primitively and irreducibly on V and $Z \leq G$. Notice that the G_i's act irreducibly on the W_i's (for if $0 < U_1 < W_1$ would be a G_1-submodule then $U_1 \otimes W_2$ would be a $G_1 \otimes G_2$-submodule). Also, the G_i's act primitively on the V_i's. (For if G_1 would act imprimitively on W_1, say, then there would be a proper subspace U_1 in W_1 whose stabilizer has index $|W_1|/|U_1|$. But then $U_1 \otimes W_2$ would be a subspace of V whose stabilizer in $G_1 \otimes G_2$ has the same index. But then, by [24, Theorem 3, page 105], we see that $G_1 \otimes G_2$, and in particular G, acts imprimitively on V, a contradiction.) If $n(G_i, W_i) \geq 2\sqrt{q-1}$ for any of the i's, then we are done. (For if $n(G_1, W_1) \geq 2\sqrt{q-1}$, say, and $v_1, \ldots, v_f$ are representatives of f orbits of G_1 on W_1 with $f \geq 2\sqrt{q-1}$, then $v_1 \otimes w, \ldots, v_f \otimes w$ will be representatives of f orbits of G on V where w is a non-zero vector in W_2.) So by the minimality of n we know that both G_1 and G_2 are exceptions in the statement of the theorem. If G is solvable, then Proposition 3.3 gives a contradiction (since $n \geq 4$). So we may assume that G is non-solvable. Notice that $|G| \leq (|G_1| \cdot |G_2|)/(q-1)$.

Let $r_1 = 2$. Then $|G| < 2 \cdot q^{(3/2)r_2+1}$ by Lemma 6.2. But then if $r_2 \geq 5$ then $|V|/|G| > 2\sqrt{q-1}$, a contradiction. We get the same conclusion when $r_1 \geq 3$ and $r_2 \geq 5$ (apply Lemma 6.2). So we conclude that $2 \leq r_1 \leq r_2 \leq 4$. In fact, since G is non-solvable, this forces $r_1 = 2$ and G_1 of type (2/a).

Let $r_2 = 2$. To maximize $|G|$ we may assume that G_2 is of type (1) or (2/a). But then we again have $2\sqrt{q-1} < |V|/|G|$, a contradiction. So $r_2 = 3$ or 4. But then G_2 is of type (1). In both cases G_2 must be solvable. Let $r_2 = 4$. If G_2 is not a semilinear group of order dividing $4(q^4-1)$, then Proposition 3.3 gives what we want. Otherwise $2\sqrt{q-1} \leq q^8/|G|$. So let $r_2 = 3$. Then $|G_2| \leq 3(q^3-1)$ (since G_2 is primitive) and so $2\sqrt{q-1} \leq q^6/|G|$.

We conclude that G does not preserve a proper tensor product structure.

From now on assume that N is a normal subgroup of G which is minimal with respect to being non-central. Then $N/Z(N)$ is a direct product of isomorphic simple groups.

If N is abelian then it is central in G. A contradiction.

If $N/Z(N)$ is elementary abelian of rank at least 2, then G is of symplectic type and Proposition 5.2 gives us a contradiction.

Now let $N/Z(N)$ be a direct product of $m \geq 2$ isomorphic non-abelian simple groups. Then $N = L_1 \star L_2 \star \cdots \star L_m$ is a central product of isomorphic groups such that for every $1 \leq i \leq m$ we have $Z \leq L_i$, L_i/Z is simple. Furthermore, conjugation by elements of G permutes the subgroups $L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_m$ in a transitive way. By choosing an irreducible FL_1-module $V_1 \leq V$, and a set of coset representatives $g_1 = 1, g_2, \ldots, g_m \in G$ of $G_1 = N_G(V_1)$ such that $L_i = g_i L_1 g_i^{-1}$, we get that $V_i := g_i V_1$ is an absolutely irreducible FL_i-module for each $1 \leq i \leq m$. Now, $V \simeq V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_m$ and G permutes the factors of this tensor product. It follows that G is embedded into the central wreath product $G_1 \wr_c S_m$ and that G_1 is non-solvable. Now G_1 acts irreducibly on V_1 for otherwise there are proper G_1-submodules W_i of V_i for each i with $1 \leq i \leq m$, so that $W = W_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes W_m$ is a proper G-submodule of V. If $n(G_1, V_1) \geq 2\sqrt{q-1}$, then so is $n(G, V) \geq 2\sqrt{q-1}$. (For let $v_1, \ldots, v_r$ be members of $n(G_1, V_1) - 2$ non-trivial orbits of G_1 on V_1. Clearly $r > 2$ and these vectors are non-zero and pairwise not multiples of each other. Let v_{r+1} be a fixed non-zero vector not in an orbit of any of the vectors listed above. Then the vectors $w_i = v_i \otimes v_{r+1} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{r+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r+1$ are all non-zero and are all in different G-orbits.) So G_1 is a group among the exceptions in Theorem 6.1. So we have $|G_1| \leq |V_1|^{3/2}$ by Lemma 6.2. Using this we can show that $|V|/|G| > 2\sqrt{q-1}$ provided that $\dim V_1 \geq 4$. So assume that $\dim V_1 = 2$ or 3. Since G_1 is non-solvable, we must then have $\dim V_1 = 2$ and $G_1 = Z \circ 2.A_5$. But then $|G| \leq (q-1)60^m p^{m-1}$ where the last factor follows from Proposition 3.4 and $n = 2^m$. However when $m = 2$ then by using just a factor of 2 in place of p^{m-1}, we get $2\sqrt{q-1} \leq |V|/|G|$. We get the same conclusion in case $m \geq 3$, by Proposition 3.4.

The remaining case is when $N/Z(N)$ is a non-abelian finite simple group. But then the generalized Fitting subgroup of G is a central product of the center of G with a quasisimple group (by the above reductions) and Proposition 5.1 yields a contradiction.

This proves Theorem 6.1.

7. Bounding $k(G)$

In order to prove Theorem 2.1 we now also have to take $k(G)$ into account.

Theorem 7.1. *Let V be an irreducible and faithful FG-module for some finite group G and finite field F of characteristic p at least 59. Suppose that p does not divide $|G|$. Then we have at least one of the following.*

(1) $n(G, V) \geq 2\sqrt{p-1}$.
(2) $k(G) \geq 2\sqrt{p-1}$.

(3) *$\sqrt{p-1}$ is an integer, $|V| = |F| = p$ and $|G| = \sqrt{p-1}$.*
(4) *Case (2/a) of Theorem 6.1 holds with $p = 59$ and $1 < t \leq 14$, or $p = 61$ and $t = 1$, or $61 < p \leq 119$ and $t \leq 4$.*
(5) *Case (2/b) of Theorem 6.1 holds with $t \leq 4$.*

Proof. Let V be an irreducible and faithful FG-module as in the statement of the theorem. Suppose that $n(G, V) < 2\sqrt{p-1}$. Suppose also that case (3) is not satisfied. More in general, suppose that $|V| = |F| = p$ is not satisfied.

We are then in one of the two exceptional cases of Theorem 6.1. First suppose that $(G, V) \in \mathcal{C}_q$. Then case (1) or case (2) of Lemma 4.2 holds. In case (1) we may apply Lemma 3.5. So suppose that case (2) of Lemma 4.2 holds.

Suppose that G/B contains A_n. Then

$$k(G) \geq k(G/B) \geq k(S_n)/2 \geq 385/2 > 2\sqrt{p-1},$$

for $n \geq 18$ and $p < 8192$. So we must have $n = 15$, 16, or 17.

Since $k(G) \geq k(G/B) \geq k(A_{15}) = 94$, we may assume that $94 < 2\sqrt{p-1}$, that is, $2210 < p$. We may assume that $p^{n-1} < 4(n!)^2$ (otherwise we are in case (1) of Lemma 4.2). By the fact that $2210 < p$, we get $2210^{n-1} < 4(n!)^2$. But this is a contradiction for $n = 15$, 16, or 17.

We are now in case (2) of Theorem 6.1.

First we consider case (2/a) of Theorem 6.1.

Let us first assume that V is a primitive FG-module. Let C be the center of G. Then G contains at least $(|C|/2) \cdot k(A_5) = (5/2)|C|$ conjugacy classes. Thus we may assume that $|C| < (4/5)\sqrt{p-1}$. But we also have $|V|/(2\sqrt{p-1}|G/C|) < |C|$. From this we have $|V| < (8/5)(p-1) \cdot 60$, that is $q^2 < 96(p-1)$. Thus we certainly have $p \leq 96$ but also $q = p$. Thus we are left with the cases $q = p = 59$, 71, 79, and 89 (note that we are excluding 61 here).

Let $q = 59$. Then $|C| \leq 6$ by the previous paragraph. But since $|C|$ must divide $q - 1 = 58$ and is even, we have $|C| = 2$. So G has at least (if not exactly) 29 non-trivial orbits on V, which is larger than $2\sqrt{58}$.

Let $q = 71$. Then $|C| \leq 6$. But since $|C|$ must divide $q - 1 = 70$ and is even, we have $|C| = 2$. So G has at least 42 non-trivial orbits on V, which is larger than $2\sqrt{70}$.

Let $q = 79$. Then $|C| \leq 7$. But since $|C|$ must divide $q - 1 = 78$, we have $|C| \leq 6$. So G has at least 18 non-trivial orbits on V, which is larger than $2\sqrt{78}$.

Let $q = 89$. Then $|C| \leq 7$. But since $|C|$ must divide $q - 1 = 88$, we have $|C| \leq 4$. So G has at least 33 non-trivial orbits on V, which is larger than $2\sqrt{88}$.

Now assume that V is an imprimitive FG-module. Let T, t, n, B and k be as above. So $n \geq 4$ and $t \geq 2$.

Suppose that $p > 1000$. Then the number of orbits of T on V_1 is at least 3 (since T cannot be a transitive linear group by Hering's theorem (see [12, Chapter XII])). But then $n(G, V) \geq \binom{t+2}{2}$ by Lemma 3.2. So we may assume that $2\sqrt{p-1} > \binom{t+2}{2}$, which forces $2p^{1/4} > t$. From this we get $|G/B| < 2^t p^{t/4}$. Since $t = n/2$, we have

$|G/B| < 2^{n/2}p^{n/8} < p^{0.176n}$ (for $p > 1000$). There exists a central subgroup $A = Z(B)$ in B of index at most $60^{n/2}$. So

$$k(G) \geq k(B)/|G : B| \geq |A|/|G : B| > |A|/p^{0.176n}.$$

We have $|G| > |V|/(2\sqrt{p-1})$ from which

$$|A| \geq |G|/(60^{n/2}p^{0.176n}) > |V|/(60^{n/2} \cdot p^{0.176n} \cdot 2\sqrt{p-1}).$$

This gives $k(G) \geq |V|/(60^{n/2} \cdot p^{0.352n} \cdot 2\sqrt{p-1})$. But this is larger than $2\sqrt{p-1}$ for $n \geq 4$.

Now let $121 < p < 1000$. Then it is easy to see that $n(T_1, V_1) \geq 4$. So we have $n(G, V) \geq \binom{t+3}{3}$ by Lemma 3.2. So we may assume that $2\sqrt{p-1} > \binom{t+3}{3} > t^3/6$. From this $12^{1/3}p^{1/6} > t$. Since $p < 1000$, we get $t \leq 7$. In fact by looking more closely at the bound using the binomial coefficient, we get $t \leq 5$. Consider the stabilizer H of V_1. We claim that $H/C_H(V_1)$ has center of order at most $(q-1)/4$. Otherwise $k(H) \geq ((q-1)/4) \cdot k(A_5) > q-1$. But then

$$k(G) \geq k(H)/5 > (q-1)/5 > 2\sqrt{q-1}$$

since $q > 121$. But then T has center of size at most $(q-1)/4$. But then going back to the place where we calculated orbits, we see that $n(T_1, V_1) \geq 10$. So $n(G, V) \geq \binom{t+9}{9} \geq \binom{12}{9} = 220 > 64 > 2\sqrt{p-1}$ (for $t \geq 3$ and $p < 1000$), by Lemma 3.2. So $t = 2$. We claim that $H/C_H(V_1)$ has center of order at most $(q-1)/8$. Otherwise $k(G) \geq k(H)/2 \geq ((q-1)/8 \cdot k(A_5))/2 \geq 2\sqrt{p-1}$. But then T has center of size at most $(q-1)/8$. But then going back to the place where we calculated orbits, we see that $n(T_1, V_1) \geq 18$. So $n(G, V) \geq \binom{19}{2} = 171 > 64 > 2\sqrt{p-1}$ (for $p < 1000$), by Lemma 3.2.

So the only remaining cases are: $t \geq 2$, and $p = 59$, 61, 71, 79, 89, 91, 101, 109, or 119. If $p = 59$ then it can happen that $n(T_1, V_1) = 2$, so in this case we can only say that $t \leq 14$. In all other cases $n(T_1, V_1) \geq 3$, so $t \leq 4$.

Finally we consider (2/b) of Theorem 6.1.

Let $T \leq GL(2, p)$ be as above. T is solvable (and primitive) and $|T/Z(T)| \leq 24$. We may assume that $t \geq 5$. But then, by Lemma 3.2, $n(G, V) \geq \binom{k+4}{5}$ where k is the number of orbits of T on the corresponding module of size p^2. Thus we can assume that $\binom{k+4}{5} \leq n(G, V) < 2\sqrt{p-1}$. Since we may also assume that $k \geq 3$ by order considerations, from this previous inequality we get $113 \leq p$. But then, by Proposition 3.3, we have $k \geq p/24$. However, since $113 \leq p$, we also have $k \geq \max\{5, p/24\}$. Just by using the bound $k \geq 5$ we can conclude that $p \geq 3970$. But then applying $k \geq p/24$ to the inequality above we get a contradiction. □

8. Bounding $n(G, V)$ and $k(G)$

We prove Theorem 2.1 by going through the five cases of Theorem 7.1.

Since both $k(G)$ and $n(G, V)$ are at least 2, there is nothing to do in cases (1) and (2). Groups in cases (3) and (5) are solvable, so the argument of [7] applies. Let us assume then that case (4) of Theorem 7.1 is satisfied.

Suppose first that $p = 59$ and t is an integer with $2 \leq t \leq 14$. In this case we need $k(G) + n(G, V) - 1 \geq 16$. If the center of T has size 58 then $k(H) > 29 \cdot 5 = 145$ where H is the stabilizer in G of V_1. So $k(G) > 145/t$. But $n(G, V) \geq t + 1$, so

$k(G)+n(G,V)-1>145/t+t>16$. (This also works for $t=1$.) So we know that T has at least 3 orbits on V_1 by Hering's theorem (see [12, Chapter XII]). But then $n(G,V)\geq\binom{t+2}{2}$ by Lemma 3.2. This is at least 16 unless $t\leq 4$.

Let $t=4$. Then $n(G,V)\geq 15$ by Lemma 3.2. The result follows from $k(G)\geq 2$.

In what follows let C be the center of $H/C_H(V_1)$.

Let $t=3$. Then $n(G,V)\geq 10$ by Lemma 3.2. So we would need $k(G)\geq 7$. Then $k(G)\geq(k(A_5)|C|)/2t=(5/2)|C|/3$. If $|C|\geq 9$ then we are finished. Otherwise the center of T has also at most 8 elements. Then $n(T_1,V_1)\geq 9$. So $n(G,V)\geq\binom{11}{3}>22$ by Lemma 3.2.

Let $t=2$. Then $n(G,V)\geq 6$ by Lemma 3.2. So we would need $k(G)\geq 11$. Then $k(G)\geq(k(A_5)|C|)/2t=(5/2)|C|/2$. If $|C|\geq 9$ then we are finished. Otherwise the center of T has also at most 8 elements. Then $n(T_1,V_1)\geq 9$. So $n(G,V)$ is at least $\binom{10}{2}=45>11$ by Lemma 3.2.

Let $q=61$ and $t=1$. Then $|C|\leq 6$. So G has at least 11 non-trivial orbits on V. So $n(G,V)+k(G)-1\geq 11+k(G)\geq 16>2\sqrt{60}$, a contradiction.

Suppose that $61<p\leq 119$. Then $k\geq 3$ by Hering's theorem (see [12, Chapter XII]).

Let $t=4$. Then $n(G,V)\geq 15$ by Lemma 3.2. So we would need $k(G)\geq 8$ (since $2\sqrt{118}$ is a bit smaller than 22). Then $k(G)\geq(k(A_5)|C|)/2t=(5/2)|C|/4$. If $|C|\geq 13$ then we are finished. Otherwise the center of T has also at most 12 elements. Then $n(T_1,V_1)\geq 7$. So $n(G,V)\geq\binom{10}{4}>22$ by Lemma 3.2.

Let $t=3$. Then $n(G,V)\geq 10$ by Lemma 3.2. So we would need $k(G)\geq 13$. Then $k(G)\geq(k(A_5)|C|)/2t=(5/2)|C|/3$. If $|C|\geq 16$ then we are finished. Otherwise the center of T has also at most 15 elements. Then $n(T_1,V_1)\geq 6$. So $n(G,V)$ is at least $\binom{8}{3}=56>22$ by Lemma 3.2.

Let $t=2$. Then $n(G,V)\geq 6$ by Lemma 3.2. So we would need $k(G)\geq 17$. Then $k(G)\geq(k(A_5)|C|)/2t=(5/2)|C|/2$. If $|C|\geq 14$ then we are finished. Otherwise the center of T has also at most 13 elements. Then $n(T_1,V_1)\geq 6$. So $n(G,V)$ is at least $\binom{7}{2}=21$ by Lemma 3.2. But $k(G)\geq 2$.

This proves Theorem 2.1 which in turn establishes Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgement. The author thanks Gunter Malle for helpful conversations on this topic and for a careful reading of an earlier version of this paper.

References

[1] R. Brauer, On groups whose order contains a prime number to the first power. I. *Amer. J. Math.* **64**, (1942), 401–420.
[2] R. Brauer, Representations of finite groups. 1963 Lectures on Modern Mathematics, Vol. I pp. 133–175, Wiley, New York.
[3] J. A. Ernest, Central intertwining numbers for representations of finite groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **99** (1961), 499–508.
[4] D. A. Foulser, Solvable primitive permutation groups of low rank. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **143** (1969), 1–54.
[5] Z. Halasi and K. Podoski, Every coprime linear group admits a base of size two, to appear in *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*

[6] L. Héthelyi, E. Horváth, T. M. Keller, A. Maróti, Groups with few conjugacy classes. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* (2) **54** (2011), no. 2, 423–430.
[7] L. Héthelyi and B. Külshammer, On the number of conjugacy classes of a finite solvable group. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **32** (2000), no. 6, 668–672.
[8] L. Héthelyi and B. Külshammer, On the number of conjugacy classes of a finite solvable group. II. *J. Algebra* **270** (2003), no. 2, 660–669.
[9] G. Hiss and G. Malle, Low-dimensional representations of quasi-simple groups. *LMS J. Comput. Math.* **4** (2001), 22–63.
[10] G. Hiss and G. Malle, Corrigenda: "Low-dimensional representations of quasi-simple groups" [*LMS J. Comput. Math.* **4** (2001), 22–63]. *LMS J. Comput. Math.* **5** (2002), 95–126
[11] B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen. I.* Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 134 Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1967.
[12] B. Huppert and N. Blackburn, Finite groups. III. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 243. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1982.
[13] T. M. Keller, Lower bounds for the number of conjugacy classes of finite groups. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **147** (2009), no. 3, 567–577.
[14] T. M. Keller, Finite groups have even more conjugacy classes. *Israel J. Math.* **181** (2011), 433–444.
[15] P. Kleidman and M. W. Liebeck, The subgroup structure of the finite classical groups. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 129. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
[16] G. Malle, Fast-einfache Gruppen mit langen Bahnen in absolut irreduzibler Operation. *J. Algebra* **300** (2006), no. 2, 655–672.
[17] P. P. Pálfy and L. Pyber, Small groups of automorphisms. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **30** (1998), no. 4, 386–390.
[18] C. E. Praeger and J. Saxl, On the orders of primitive permutation groups. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **12** (1980), no. 4, 303–307.
[19] L. Pyber, Finite groups have many conjugacy classes. *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **46** (1992), no. 2, 239–249.
[20] A. Vera López and J. Vera López, Classification of finite groups according to the number of conjugacy classes I and II. *Israel J. Math.* **51** (1985), no. 4, 305–338 and **56** (1986), no. 2, 188–221.
[21] A. Vera López and J. Sangroniz, The finite groups with thirteen and fourteen conjugacy classes. *Math. Nachr.* **280** (2007), no. 5-6, 676–694.
[22] P. Schmid, The solution of the $k(GV)$ problem. ICP Advanced Texts in Mathematics, 4. Imperial College Press, London, 2007.
[23] S. M. Seager, The rank of a finite primitive solvable permutation group. *J. Algebra* **105** (1987), no. 2, 389–394.
[24] D. A. Suprunenko, Matrix groups. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 45. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1976.

Fachbereich Mathematik, Technische Universität Kaiserslautern, Postfach 3049, 67653 Kaiserslautern, Germany and Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Reáltanoda utca 13-15, H-1053, Budapest, Hungary

E-mail address: maroti@mathematik.uni-kl.de and maroti.attila@renyi.mta.hu